

Efficient Multi-Attribute Procurement Auction

Hiroshi FUKUI¹⁾ and Kiyoshi KOBAYASHI²⁾

- Department of Urban Management, Graduate School of Engineering, Kyoto University
- 2) Graduate School of Management, Kyoto University



Purpose of the Presentation

• Provide a topic of **multi-attribute tender**

examples The U.S. EU Japan

• Introduce a theoretical approach to public procurement

Auction Theory

Introduce our research (H.Fukui and K.Kobayashi)

Increasing Trend away from Traditional Procurement Tender

Price-Only Tender

Multi-Attribute Tender (multi-criteria selection)

AWARD CRITERIA

Price

+

Nonmonetary attributes

- completion time
- •environmental characteristics
- •running cost
- etc..

EXAMPLE1. The U.S.

The U.S. State Highway Authorities' procurement for highway repair jobs



- A: the estimated cost
- **B** : the estimated duration for project completion

EXAMPLE1. The U.S.

A + B method

 $TCB = ECC + (DRUC \times EPD)$

TCB=Total Combined Bid ECC=**Estimated Construction Cost** for the project DRUC=**Daily Road User Cost** EPD= **Estimated Project Duration** for project completion

The winning bidder is the bidder who submits the lowest total combined bid

EXAMPLE2. EU

In the European Union (EU), the public procurement procedure is regulated by the EU legislation.

New Public Procurement Directives **2004/18/EC**

for works, supply and service contracts in the public sector; **2004/17/EC**

on contracts with entities operating in the "special sectors" of water, energy, transport and postal service.

was adopted in 2004

EXAMPLE2. EU

X

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

- •The open procedure
- The restricted procedure
- The negotiated procedure
- •The competitive dialogue

CONTRSACT AWARD CRITERIA

The Lowest Price

or

The Most Economically Advantageous Tender (MEAT)

EXAMPLE2. EU

- •Request for tenders (RFT) must state **all criteria** being applied and their **relative weightings**
- •Evaluation process must be demonstrably **objective** and **transparent** based solely on the published criteria
- •Objectivity and transparency are best achieved by use of a weighted scoring system based on the published criteria

EXAMPLE3. Japan

PUBLIC PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

CONTRSACT AWARD CRITERIA

•Open Bidding System

•Nominated Tendering System

Х

Negotiated Contract

The Lowest Price Multi-attribute tender

EXAMPLE3. Japan

The Public Works Quality Assurance Promotion Law







		Southern Cross Group	Mitsui Fudosan, Taisei , Toshiba	Orix	Nishimatu	Itochu	Takenaka	Maeda
bidding price score (/85)	(million yen)	¥14,460	¥13,018	¥12,996	¥11,581	¥12,488	¥13,800	¥14,195
	Score	68.08	75.62	75.75	85	78.83	71.33	69.35
stability score(/5)	long term stability	4.28	5	5	3.75	5	4.28	5
	risk management							
	Continuance							
service score(/7)	functional characteristics	0.7	5.6	2.1	0	3.5	0	6.3
	total design							
	consideration to ambient surrounding							
management score(/3)	managerial stability	0.19	2.63	1.88	0	3	0.19	2.25
	work contents							
	reconcile with							
	art museum							
Total Score (/100)		73.25	88.85	84.73	88.57	90.33	75.8	82.9



Theoretical Approach to Public Procurement

- Auction Theory
- Introduce Our Research (2010, H.Fukui and K.Kobayashi)

Auction Theory



have a new viewpoint to understand the mechanism of tenderObtain some implication to construct more efficient institution



Reserve Price Policy in Japan

Reserve price : an upper limit of payment calculated by the buyer

The government must set the reserve price in every tender because it is strictly required by **Public Accounting Act**



Effect of Reserve Price in Price-Only Tender from Auction Theory Analysis

Bring highly intensified competition among bidders where all participating bidders have capacities of proposing contact price that is lower than reserve price

Buyer can make a contract with **lower** procurement cost



Not participate

Our Research(H.Fukui and K.Kobayashi)

In Japan, the government adopts reserve price policy in multi-attribute tender because of the regulation of Public Accounting Act

However,

- Is it really efficient policy in multi-attribute tender?
- Isn't there any other policy that is more efficient ?



Our Research

method of study

Game theoretic approach (Auction Theory)

- •Analyze the mechanism of multi-attribute tender
- •Clarify the effect of reserve price in multi-attribute tender

Results

- •Reserve price policy is **not** efficient in multi-attribute tender
- •Reserve **score** policy that sets the lower limit of score is more efficient policy in multi-attribute tender



Scoring Auction

Multi-Attribute Procurement Auction can be analyzed by scoring auction



Model Structure





We considered the following question.

How should the government design the scoring rule?

Efficient Scoring Rule

Proposition

In order to maximize social surplus (achieve social efficient quality), government should set the scoring rule

S(p,q) = V(q) - p





Reserve Price Policy vs. Reserve Score Policy

Both policy is intended to get more competition among bidders to improve the expected utility of government

$$S(p,q) = V(q) - p$$

Reserve score policy requires all bidders to meet the lower limit of the score ξ

$$V(q) - p \ge \xi$$

Reserve price policy requires all bidders to bid the price that is smaller than the upper limit of price γ

Reserve Price Policy vs. Reserve Score Policy





Propose the social efficient quality that maximizes social surplus Propose the quality which is *smaller* than the social efficient quality Not participate the auction



- **1. Maximizes Social Surplus**
- 2. Improves the Expected Utility



Limitation and Future Study

Several important aspects are ignored in our analysis

Possibility of moral hazard after the contract

transaction cost



Thank you for your attention